

Serial No: 10/090,602

Attorney Docket No.: 111766 CON

**REMARKS****Objection to Abstract**

The Abstract has been amended and is believed to satisfy the requirements of MPEP §608.01(b).

**Claim Rejections**

The Office action of 11/30/2005 rejected claims 1-101 on the grounds of obviousness-type double patenting.

Applicants note that claims 1-101 were canceled in a Preliminary Amendment filed 03/05/2002 and claims 102-202 were added at that time.

Applicants will nonetheless treat the rejection as applying to claims 102-202 and are submitting herewith a Terminal Disclaimer that overcomes the rejection.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that the application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

David Arthur Berkley et al

By: 

Ronald D. Slusky  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 26,585  
(212) 246-4546

Office of Ronald D. Slusky  
Registered Patent Attorney  
353 West 56<sup>th</sup> St.—Suite 5L  
New York, New York 10019-3775  
Date: 03/14/2006